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Radiation therapy (RT) is the most effective single modality for local control of Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and an important component of therapy for many patients. These guidelines have been developed to address the use of RT in HL in the modern era of combined modality treatment. The role of reduced volumes and doses is addressed, integrating modern imaging with 3-dimensional (3D) planning and advanced techniques of treatment delivery. The previously applied extended field (EF) and original involved field (IF) techniques, which treated larger volumes based on nodal stations, have now been replaced by the use of limited volumes, based solely on detectable nodal (and extranodal extension) involvement at presentation, using contrast-enhanced computed tomography, positron emission tomography/computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, or a combination of these techniques. The International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements concepts of gross tumor volume, clinical target volume, internal target volume, and planning target volume are used for defining the targeted volumes. Newer treatment techniques, including intensity modulated radiation therapy, breath hold, image guided radiation therapy, and 4-dimensional imaging, should be implemented when their use is expected to decrease significantly the risk for normal tissue damage while still achieving the primary goal of local tumor control. The highly conformal involved node radiation therapy (INRT), recently introduced for patients for whom optimal imaging is available, is explained. A new concept, involved site radiation therapy (ISRT), is introduced as the standard conformal therapy for the scenario, commonly encountered, wherein optimal imaging is not available.
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There is increasing evidence that RT doses used in the past are higher than necessary for disease control in this era of combined modality therapy. The use of INRT and of lower doses in early-stage HL is supported by available data. Although the use of ISRT has not yet been validated in a formal study, it is more conservative than INRT, accounting for suboptimal information and appropriately designed for safe local disease control. The goal of modern smaller field radiation therapy is to reduce both treatment volume and treatment dose while maintaining efficacy and minimizing acute and late sequelae. This review is a consensus of the International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group (ILROG) Steering Committee regarding the modern approach to RT in the treatment of HL, outlining a new concept of ISRT in which reduced treatment volumes are planned for the effective control of involved sites of HL. Nodal and extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) are covered separately by ILROG guidelines.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

The purpose of these guidelines is to provide a consensus position on the modern approach to the delivery of radiation therapy (RT) in the treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and to outline a new concept of involved site radiation therapy (ISRT) in which reduced treatment volumes are planned for the effective control of involved sites of disease. The present guidelines represent a consensus viewpoint of the Steering Committee of the International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group (ILROG). The guidelines are based on the best available evidence and the experience of ILROG members (1).

RT has been widely used in the management of malignant lymphomas and was responsible for many of the early cures of HL. Although RT continues to play an important role as a single modality for some HL patients, in most HL patients, RT is used in combination with chemotherapy. Combination chemotherapy has evolved with increasing efficacy to play a major role in the management of HL. RT continues to have an important place in ensuring locoregional control and improving overall outcome in the combined modality treatment programs for HL. With effective curative treatment regimens, there is increasing concern about the late effects of treatment and the quality of "survivorship." Therefore, it is of paramount importance in the delivery of RT to maintain high rates of long-term local control while minimizing radiation exposure to surrounding normal tissues. Furthermore, it is recognized that most recurrences in patients treated for HL occur in sites of previous involvement, and that RT reduces local recurrence. Advances in imaging, treatment planning, and RT delivery have made it possible to better define and further decrease RT fields in many situations. The current guidelines for involved field RT based on anatomic landmarks and encompassing adjacent uninvolved lymph nodes (2) are no longer appropriate for modern, more focused RT delivery aimed at reducing normal tissue exposure.

In this article, we highlight the application of advances in the technologic expertise available in the delivery of RT. These developments include the routine use of cross-sectional imaging for RT planning, accurate dosimetry using modern algorithms that adjust for tissue inhomoogeneties, complex beam shaping with multileaf collimation, and intensity modulated beam delivery in the treatment of HL.

The purpose of this document is to provide radiation oncologists treating HL with guidelines for imaging and treatment planning. The focus is on adult patients with localized HL and on bulky sites in advanced-stage and residual/relapsed/refractory disease in all stages.

Treatment Volume Principles

Modern RT planning in lymphoma incorporates the current concepts of volume determination as outlined in the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) Report 83 (3). It is based on defining a gross tumor volume (GTV) and a clinical target volume (CTV) that is expanded to a planning target volume (PTV). The PTV is then used to define beam coverage. This approach allows direct comparison with the diagnostic imaging, increasing the accuracy with which lymph node volumes are defined.

An important consideration is whether RT is being used as a primary treatment modality or, alternatively, whether it is being delivered as consolidation therapy. In patients with refractory disease after chemotherapy, RT may be administered to residual lymphoma to a higher dose and larger volume to obtain lasting local control. Furthermore, RT is highly effective when administered to local residual or refractory lymphoma as a component preceding or after a comprehensive salvage high-dose therapy program that includes stem cell transplantation.

Radiation Therapy as Primary Treatment

As a single modality in HL, RT is relevant for early-stage lymphocyte-predominant Hodgkin lymphoma (LPHL). It may also be relevant in selected cases of early-stage classic HL in patients who are not candidates for primary chemotherapy because of having serious comorbidities.

In most clinical situations that require RT as the primary modality, the GTV should be readily visualized during simulation. In this situation, the clinically treated volume (CTV) should be Q1 more generous because microscopic or subclinical disease is more likely to be present without chemotherapy. The absence of effective systemic therapy in such cases should also influence dose decisions.

Radiation Therapy as Part of a Combined Modality Approach

In early-stage classic HL, RT is often part of the treatment program after adequate systemic chemotherapy in all age groups. RT improves freedom from treatment failure even in PET-negative Q2 patients (4, 5) and allows treatment with fewer chemotherapy cycles (6). In a recent systematic review, combined modality treatment was found to improve tumor control and overall survival in patients with early-stage HL (7). In patients with advanced-stage
disease, localized RT may be used for residual lymphoma after full chemotherapy, or RT may be an integral part of some regimens for advanced-stage disease (8).

In this situation, the GTV may be markedly affected by systemic chemotherapy, and it is therefore particularly important to review the prechemotherapy imaging and to outline the prechemotherapy volume on the simulation computed tomographic (CT) study as “prechemotherapy GTV.”

**Volume Definitions for Planning Radiation Therapy for Lymphoma**

These principles apply whether ISRT or involved node radiation therapy (INRT) is applied (see below). The difference between them is the quality and accuracy of the prechemotherapy imaging, which determine the margins needed to allow for uncertainties in the contouring of the CTV.

**Volume of interest acquisition**

Planning RT for lymphoma is based on obtaining a 3-dimensional (3D) simulation study using either a CT simulator, a PET/CT simulator, or a magnetic resonance imaging simulator. If PET and/or CT information has been obtained separately or before simulation, it is best to fuse electronically with the CT simulation study so original volumes of interest can be displayed on the simulation study. Alternatively, careful manual transfer of volumes may be carried out if electronic transfer is not possible. Ideally, imaging studies that may provide planning information should be obtained with the patient in the treatment position and using the planned immobilization devices.

**Determination of gross tumor volume**

**Prechemotherapy (or presurgery) GTV**

Imaging abnormalities obtained before any intervention that might have affected lymphoma volume should be outlined on the simulation study, inasmuch as these volumes should (in most situations) be included in the CTV.

**No chemotherapy or postchemotherapy GTV**

The primary imaging of untreated lesions or postchemotherapy residual GTV should be outlined on the simulation study and is always part of the CTV.

**Determination of clinical target volume**

In principle, the CTV encompasses the original (before any intervention) GTV. Yet, normal structures such as lungs, kidneys, and muscles that were clearly uninvolved should be excluded from the CTV based on clinical judgment. In outlining the CTV, the following points should be considered:

- Quality and accuracy of imaging
- Concerns of changes in volume since imaging
- Spread patterns of the disease
- Potential subclinical involvement
- Adjacent organs constraints

If separate nodal volumes are involved, they can potentially be encompassed in the same CTV. However, if the involved nodes are more than 5 cm apart, they can be treated with separate fields using the CTV-to-PTV expansion guidelines as outlined below.

**Determination of internal target volume**

The internal target volume (ITV) is defined in the ICRU Report 62 (9) as the CTV plus a margin taking into account uncertainties in size, shape, and position of the CTV within the patient. The ITV is mostly relevant when the target is moving, most commonly in the chest and upper abdomen with respiratory movements. The optimal way is to use 4D CT simulation to obtain the ITV margins. Alternatively, the ITV may be determined by fluoroscopy or estimated by an experienced clinician. In the chest or upper abdomen, margins of 1.5 to 2 cm in the superior-inferior direction may be necessary. In sites (eg, the neck) that are unlikely to change shape or position during or between treatments, outlining the ITV is not required.

**Determination of planning target volume**

The PTV is the volume that takes into account the CTV (or ITV, when relevant) and also accounts for setup uncertainties in patient positioning and alignment of the beams during treatment planning and through all treatment sessions.

The practice of determining the PTV varies across institutions. The clinician and/or treatment planner adds the PTV and applies standard margins that depend on estimated setup variations that are a function of immobilization device, body site, and patient cooperation.

In general, margins for uncertainties should be added quadratically to avoid excessive margins based on the most extreme (and least likely) situations (10).

**Determination of organs at risk**

The organs at risk (OARs) are critical normal structures that, if irradiated, could experience significant morbidity and might influence treatment planning or the prescribed dose. They should be outlined on the simulation study. Dose-volume histograms (DVH) and normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) should be calculated by the planner and the plan vetted by the clinician in consideration of this information.

**Radiation Therapy Dose Considerations**

The determinants of dose prescription for HL include the histologic subtype (classic HL vs LPHL) and clinical risk factors.

For patients with early-stage classic HL in CR after chemotherapy, the dose to the CTV is determined on the basis of the results of the German Hodgkin Studies HD 10 and 11 (6, 11). For patients with favorable characteristics according to the German criteria, the dose is 20 Gy, whereas for patients with unfavorable characteristics it is 30 Gy.

For patients with early-stage LPHL, no advantage has been shown for doses over 30 to 35 Gy, which is the recommended dose to the CTV (12).
For patients with residual lymphoma after chemotherapy, the residual mass may represent a more refractory disease, and increasing the dose to the CTV to 36 to 40 Gy should be considered.

**Radiation Therapy Planning**

**Role of imaging in radiation therapy planning**

Lymphoma staging and response assessment is based on 3D imaging, with CT supplemented by functional imaging using $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET. Optimally, these images should be acquired with the patient in the radiation treatment position and with the involvement of the radiation oncologist (Fig. 1).

The use of diagnostic contrast-enhanced CT is essential to delineate nodal stations and differentiate nodes from vessels. In centers where PET/CT can be done with contrast medium, this can obviate the need for a separate contrast-enhanced investigation. PET/CT scans can be done with contrast medium without interfering with the attenuation correction (13). For abdominal and pelvic locations, oral contrast medium should be used. 4D CT imaging as part of the simulation may be helpful in determining the ITV for sites that change with respiration. Acquiring this high-quality imaging is fundamental to high-quality RT planning.

**Immobilization**

A planning CT scan should be taken with the patient having appropriate immobilization. In the case of disease in the head-and-neck region, a customized thermoplastic mask should be used. Contiguous slices with a slice thickness of no more than 3 to 5 mm should be taken through the region of interest.

**Treatment techniques**

The treating radiation oncologist makes a clinical judgment as to which treatment technique to use, based on comparisons of treatment plans and DVHs with different techniques. In some situations, conventional anteroposterior-posteroanterior techniques may be preferred, because the smallest volume of normal tissue will be irradiated, albeit to the full prescribed dose (Fig. 2).

In other situations, more conformal techniques such as IMRT, arc therapy, or tomotherapy may offer significantly better sparing of critical normal structures, usually at the price of a larger total volume of normal tissue irradiated, albeit to a lower dose (Fig. 3). The role of proton therapy has not yet been defined, and it is not widely available. Recommendations as to which technique to use in the individual case cannot be made, and careful consideration must be given to choosing the technique that the clinician considers to offer the minimum risk of significant late toxicity for that patient.

**Three-dimensional planning**

The use of 3D outlining is highly recommended and is essential for determining the CTV, PTV, and OARs. Standard 3D conformal treatment is appropriate in many cases. However, in some clinical situations, conventional anteroposterior-posteroanterior techniques may be preferred, because the smallest volume of normal tissue will be irradiated, albeit to the full prescribed dose (Fig. 2).

In other situations, more conformal techniques such as IMRT, arc therapy, or tomotherapy may offer significantly better sparing of critical normal structures, usually at the price of a larger total volume of normal tissue irradiated, albeit to a lower dose (Fig. 3). The role of proton therapy has not yet been defined, and it is not widely available. Recommendations as to which technique to use in the individual case cannot be made, and careful consideration must be given to choosing the technique that the clinician considers to offer the minimum risk of significant late toxicity for that patient.
scenarios, IMRT, inspiration breath hold techniques, and image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) may offer significant and clinically relevant advantages and should be used. The use of 4D imaging or deep-inspiration breath hold technique for disease sites that are significantly affected by respiratory motion is encouraged. IGRT verification may be indicated for sites that are adjacent to critical dose-limiting normal structures, especially in situations of retreatment.

**Intensity modulated radiation therapy**

IMRT plans provide improved planning target volume coverage ($D_{\text{mean}}$, V95, conformity index) compared with 3D conformal RT. In selected patients with mediastinal involvement, IMRT reduces pulmonary toxicity predictors (lower values for $D_{\text{mean}}$ and V20) and allows for a better protection of the heart and coronary arteries. This dosimetric gain is normally more evident in case of a large PTV involving the anterior mediastinum.

Although the advantages of IMRT include the tightly conformal doses and steep gradient next to normal tissues, target definition and treatment delivery verification need even more attention than with conventional RT to avoid the risk of tumor geographic miss and subsequent decrease in tumor control. Image guidance may be required to ensure full coverage during the whole treatment. For IMRT in mediastinal lymphoma, the use of 4D CT for simulation and the adoption of strategies to deal with respiratory motion during treatment delivery may be important.

The highly conformal treatment techniques enable retreatment of patients experiencing relapse without exceeding the tolerance of critical normal structures such as the spinal cord (14). Figure 4 shows a treatment plan for a patient with supradiaphragmatic recurrence after previous radiation therapy to 36 Gy to a modified mantle field.

**Breath hold techniques**

In patients with classic HL, irradiation of the mediastinum is frequently indicated. Several studies have demonstrated that treatment in inspiration enables significant sparing of the lung and heart, and this technique is recommended (15). Figure 5 shows treatment plans for a patient with extensive mediastinal disease in free breathing and inspiration breath hold.

**Dose Constraints**

Previous experience comes from patients treated over the past 5 decades, where extended fields and higher doses resulted in significant risks of morbidity and mortality. Hence, it is important to use the ISRT treatment technique described below and to choose the treatment plan that is estimated to lead to the lowest risk of long-term complications for the individual patient. Consideration should be given to factors such as sex, age, and comorbidities.

An integral part of calculating conformal treatment plans is the use of dose constraints for different normal tissues. However, the dose constraints used for treatment planning of solid tumors are in most cases not well suited for the planning of RT for lymphomas because the prescribed dose to the target is much lower.

Radiation doses to all normal structures should be kept as low as possible to minimize the risk of long-term complications, but some structures are more critical than others. Ideally, NTCP models for all relevant risk organs with a special focus on the low-dose region of 20 to 40 Gy should be combined for each treatment plan. At present, no validated guidelines exist that allow optimization based on weighted estimates of risks of different long-term complications. Research into the development of methods for this purpose, based on the available dose-response data for different tissues and endpoints, is ongoing (16). As a minimum, however, the doses to normal structures should at least conform to well-documented dose constraints that are applied to the treatment of solid tumors (17).

The risk of late side effects needs always to be balanced by the risk of local recurrence if RT is not given for the individual patient. In many situations, particularly in the older age group, the risk and morbidity of disease recurrence outweighs the unlikely risk of late effects such as second malignancy.

**Involved Site Radiation Therapy in Early-Stage HL**

The concept of ISRT was developed on the basis of the INRT concept. INRT was introduced and implemented by the European Association for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Lymphoma Group and is detailed later in the document (18, 19).

In both INRT and ISRT, the prechemotherapy GTV determines the CTV, and the irradiated volume is significantly smaller than
Involved Node Radiation Therapy in Early-Stage Classic HL

The concept of INRT for early-stage classic HL was developed and implemented by the EORTC and replaced the traditional larger IFRT that was used in previous studies by the EORTC and other groups. The INRT technique reduces the treated volume to a minimum, but to be safe, optimal imaging both before and after chemotherapy is needed (18, 20). INRT can therefore be regarded as a special case of ISRT wherein optimal imaging is available. It has been demonstrated that PET/CT is the most accurate imaging method for determining disease extent in HL, and thus up-front PET/CT is mandatory for INRT design (21). To enable image fusion of the prechemotherapy and the postchemotherapy planning images, the prechemotherapy PET/CT scan should be acquired with the patient in the treatment position and using the same breathing instructions that will be used later for RT. Ideally, the patient should be scanned on a flat couch top, with the use of appropriate immobilization devices, and using markers at skin positions that are visible in the imaging. However, an ordinary diagnostic PET/CT scan with the patient lying in approximately a position that is suitable for later RT will usually suffice.

After the completion of chemotherapy, a response assessment using PET/CT or contrast-enhanced CT should be performed, if this is not being performed during the chemotherapy. INRT should be commenced 3 to 4 weeks after the completion of chemotherapy and thus up-front PET/CT is mandatory for INRT design (21). To enable image fusion of the prechemotherapy and the postchemotherapy planning images, the prechemotherapy PET/CT scan should be acquired with the patient in the treatment position and using the same breathing instructions that will be used later for RT. Ideally, the patient should be scanned on a flat couch top, with the use of appropriate immobilization devices, and using markers at skin positions that are visible in the imaging. However, an ordinary diagnostic PET/CT scan with the patient lying in approximately a position that is suitable for later RT will usually suffice.

After the completion of chemotherapy, a response assessment using PET/CT or contrast-enhanced CT should be performed, if this is not being performed during the chemotherapy. INRT should be commenced 3 to 4 weeks after the completion of chemotherapy. A planning CT scan is acquired with the patient in the same position as in the prechemotherapy CT scan.

The contouring process is now as follows:

1. The CT images of the prechemotherapy PET/CT are used to delineate the initially involved lymphoma volume, the GTV_{PTV} as determined by morphology on CT. This is depicted in red in Figure 6A.
2. The PET images of the prechemotherapy PET/CT are used to delineate the initially involved lymphoma volume, the GTV_{PET} as determined by FDG uptake, depicted in blue in Figure 6B.
3. The prechemotherapy PET/CT is fused with the postchemotherapy planning CT scan, and the GTV_{PTV} and GTV_{PET} are imported to the planning CT images, depicted in Figure 6C and D.

Clinical target volume

The CTV encompasses the original lymphoma volume, modified for normal tissue boundaries and expanded to accommodate uncertainties in determining the prechemotherapy volume as outlined above. In situations where RT is the primary treatment, larger margins to encompass subclinical disease need to be applied.

The ITV should be added to the CTV only in situations where internal organ movement is of concern. The CTV (or ITV if used) will be expanded further to create the PTV.
4. The postchemotherapy tissue volume, which contained the initially involved lymphoma tissue, is contoured using information from both prechemotherapy PET and prechemotherapy CT, taking into account tumor shrinkage and other anatomic changes. This is the CTV, depicted in purple in Figure 6E. The CTV encompasses all of the initial lymphoma volume while still respecting normal structures that were never involved by lymphoma, such as lungs, chest wall, muscles, and mediastinal normal structures.

Once the CTV has been defined, the planning process is as described above with ISRT.

This highly conformal treatment technique has been shown to be safe, provided strict adherence to the principles described here is maintained (1, 22). INRT represents a special case of ISRT, in which prechemotherapy imaging is ideal for postchemotherapy treatment planning.

Irradiation of Residual Mass After Full Chemotherapy for Advanced Disease

In advanced disease (classic HL and LPHL), many centers treat patients with chemotherapy alone (especially in the absence of bulky disease) and only if a CR is not achieved will RT be used. The target in this situation is the residual mass (GTV) after chemotherapy.

Once the GTV has been contoured, the planning procedure is as described previously. A margin is added to account for uncertainties and motion. Usually a margin of 1 cm is sufficient, but in the chest and upper abdomen a larger margin in the superior-inferior direction is needed to compensate for respiratory motion.

Irradiation of Early-Stage LPHL

When RT is used as the only treatment modality, the CTV must be designed to encompass suspected subclinical disease. However, no advantage has been demonstrated with EFRT as opposed to more limited treatment fields (23). The CTV should incorporate the GTV and include as a minimum adjacent lymph nodes in that site and a generous margin dictated by the clinical situation. The scenario is similar to RT for localized indolent NHL.

Larger Field RT

The role of larger field RT is now limited essentially to salvage treatment in patients in whom chemotherapy is unsuccessful and who are unable to embark on more intensive salvage treatment schedules. Such salvage cases are usually addressed on a case-to-case basis and it is not feasible to produce guidelines, given the diversity of individual cases. As such, there are no data to support the use of extended fields that can cause toxicity and compromise the safety of subsequent therapy such as stem cell transplantation.

Refractory and Relapsed HL

Salvage RT plays an important role in local control for patients who have primary refractory disease dominated by a local site. Salvage RT is also important for patients who experience relapse...
after achieving a CR with initial therapy, where RT is generally used as part of combined modality therapy along with salvage chemotherapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT).

A small group of patients with localized disease and no systemic symptoms enjoy prolonged disease-free survival with RT alone (24). RT should also be considered as a salvage option in the setting of ASCT failure, after relapse, or after progression, wherein a significant proportion of patients could still achieve high response rates with salvage RT to doses up to 40 Gy, and a few may even enjoy long-term disease-free survival of over 5 years (25). Salvage RT yields high response rates and high local control rates in refractory and relapsed HL (24) and in relapses after ASCT, where it can play an important role in the palliation of incurable HL (25, 26). However, systemic failures remain the commonest problem in this setting, underlining the need for improved systemic therapy in combination with salvage RT.

RT plays an important role as cytoreduction and consolidation therapy in the peritransplantation period in some transplantation programs (27), and it results in low numbers of ASCT failures in patients who received RT in single-institution studies. Patients who are candidates for salvage therapy with ASCT may benefit from RT either before or after ASCT to dominant sites of local recurrence. In patients with CR or near-CR to salvage chemotherapy, a dose of 30 to 36 Gy after ASCT is recommended. When given after ASCT, RT should be delivered either before ASCT or as soon as the patient has recovered from the acute side effects of ASCT, and ideally within 6 weeks after stem cell infusion.

Consideration is given to previous RT and to the radiosensitivity of normal tissues and organs that would be inadvertently irradiated (Fig. 4). RT volumes are localized to encompass the known site(s) of disease recurrence, without prophylactic inclusion of adjacent lymph nodal stations.

Conclusion

Modern RT for HL is a highly individualized treatment restricted to limited treatment volumes. Modern imaging and RT techniques should be used to limit the amount of normal tissue being irradiated, thus minimizing the risk of long-term complications. The newly defined fields of ISRT represent a significant reduction in the volume included in the previously used IFRT. Radiation oncologists treating HL should be involved as part of the multidisciplinary team in the initial management plan and attempt to introduce imaging procedures up front before the initiation of chemotherapy. Such an integrated multidisciplinary approach will enable the optimal outcome for patients with HL.
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